Jefferson County Land & Water Conservation Committee Agenda "Working Together to Protect & Enhance the Environment"

Jefferson County Courthouse 311 S Center Ave, Rm 112 Jefferson, WI 53549-1701

Wednesday, August 16, 2017 @ 8:00 am

Committee Members: Matthew Foelker (Chair), Ed Morse (Vice Chair), Peter Hartz (Secretary), Gregg Patrick (Member), Lloyd Zastrow (Member), Frank Anfang (FSA Rep), and Margaret Burlingham (Public Member)

- 1. Call to Order
- 2. Roll Call (Establish a Quorum)
- 3. Certification of Compliance with the Open Meetings Law
- 4. Approval of the August Agenda
- 5. Approval of the July 19, 2017 Meeting Minutes
- 6. Public Comment (members of the public who wish to address the Committee on specific agenda items must register their request at this time)
- 7. Communications
 - Department of Agriculture, Trade & Consumer Protection (DATCP) August 2017 Report
- 8. Discussion on and Introduction of Wildlife Biologist Sam Jonas Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources
- 9. Discussion on LWCD Directors July 2017 Report
- 10. Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) Report
- 11. Discussion on Hoard Dairyman Facility
- 12. Discussion on Potters Field Authorities
- 13. Discussion and Possible Action on Notices of Noncompliance Farmland Preservation Program (FPP)
- 14. Discussion and Possible Action on Cancellation of Notices of Noncompliance FPP
- 15. Discussion on the LWCD Preliminary 2018 Budget Request
- 16. Review of the Monthly Financial Report (June)
- 17. Discussion and Possible Action on Purchase of Agriculture Conservation Easements (PACE) Applications
- 18. Discussion on Jefferson County Land & Water Conservation Report on Baseline Documentation for Easements
- 19. Discussion on Items for the Next Agenda
- 20. Next Scheduled Meeting:
 - September 20, 2017 @ 8:00 am in Room 112
- 21. Adjournment

A quorum of any Jefferson County Committee, Board, Commission or other body, including the Jefferson County Board of Supervisors, may be present at this meeting.

Individuals requiring special accommodations for attendance at this meeting should contact the County Administrator 24 hours prior to the meeting at (920) 674-7101 so appropriate arrangements can be made.

Land & Water Conservation Committee Minutes July 19, 2017

1. Call to Order:

The monthly meeting was called to order by Matt Foelker at 8:03 am. Committee members Matthew Foelker (Chair), Peter Hartz (Secretary), Gregg Patrick (Member), Frank Anfang (FSA Rep), and Margaret Burlingham (Public Member) were present. Also in attendance were Mark Watkins, Director, Land & Water Conservation Department (LWCD); Kim Liakopoulos, LWCD; Gerry Kokkonen, LWCD; Cody Calkins, Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS). Members Ed Morse (Vice Chair) and Lloyd Zastrow (Member) were excused.

2. Roll Call (Establish a Quorum):

A quorum was established.

3. Certification of Compliance with the Open Meetings Law:

It was determined that the committee was in compliance with the Open Meetings Law.

4. Approval of the July Agenda:

The July agenda was reviewed by the committee members. No changes were proposed.

5. Approval of the June 21, 2017 Meeting Minutes:

Gregg Patrick made a motion to approve the June 21, 2017 meeting minutes as written, Margaret Burlingham seconded. Motion carried 5/0.

6. Public Comment:

There were no comments.

7. Communications:

- Department of Agriculture, Trade & Consumer Protection (DATCP) July 2017 Report. See attached.
- Thank You from Jefferson County Dairy Breakfast. See attached
- Grant County Southern Area Tour.

8. Discussion on LWCD Director's July 2017 Report:

Mark Watkins discussed the Directors Report. See attached.

9. Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) Report:

Cody Calkins discussed the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) report. No written copy was available.

10. Discussion on Hoard Dairyman Facility:

Mark Watkins met with the engineers from REA. Hoard's has submitted documentation for the new manure storage facility and the abandonment of the old one. A review of the construction plans, amendments to the livestock siting permit, and nutrient management plan are being conducted by the LWCD. Monitoring the outflow water from below the old basin for contamination will continue. When the new application and plans have been determined to be complete they will be advanced to the Planning & Zoning Committee for full approval.

11. Discussion and Possible Action on Locating Honey Bee Hives on the County Farm:

Mark Watkins notified the committee of an informal request to locate hives on the County Farm. A party has shown interest in using some of the non-crop land to house the hives. There is nothing formal or specific yet. After discussion, the committee has chosen to revisit this item during the County Farm lease period this fall.

12. Discussion on Potter's Field Authorities:

Mark Watkins spoke to the committee about confusion surrounding which department has oversight of the Potter's Field. Historically, the LWCD has had oversight. Recently the Historical Sites Commission has been working with the Park's Department. Gregg Patrick made a motion to have the LWCD be the authority of the Potter's Field, Frank Anfang seconded. Motion carried 5/0.

13. Discussion and Possible Action on Notices of Noncompliance - Farmland Preservation Program (FPP): Weenonah Brattset Trust, Kathleen M Cauley, Evelyn Tinberg

Gregg Patrick made a motion to accept the notices, Peter Hartz seconded. Motion carried 5/0.

14. Discussion and Possible Action on Cancellation of Notices of Noncompliance - FPP:

There were no cancellations.

15. Discussion on the LWCD Preliminary 2018 Budget Request:

Mark Watkins discussed the 2018 Budget Request. The initial request review shows a 0% increase with the exception of insurance costs.

16. Review of the Monthly Financial Report (May):

The most recent statement of revenues and expenditures (May) was distributed. See attached.

17. Discussion and Possible Action on Purchase of Agriculture Conservation Easements (PACE) Applications:

There are no applications at this time. A family new to the area has shown some interest. Gerry Kokkonen spoke with the family and provided them with and application and additional easement paperwork.

18. Discussion and Possible Action on Easement Application Press Release:

Margaret Burlingham discussed the Press Release sent before the beginning of the County Fair. See attached. Margaret Burlingham will contact other outlets to have the release reach more farmers, i.e. State Farmer, Ag Review, and Country Today. The new PACE brochures will also be distributed.

19. Discussion on Federal Allocation for the PACE Program:

Margaret Burlingham discussed the current state of Federal Allocations for Farmland Easements. The federal allocations are set to be half of last year's amount.

20. Discussion on Jefferson County Land & Water Conservation Report on Baseline Documentation for Easements:

Gerry Kokkonen included a copy of a baseline documentation that is sent out to each easement participant for the committee's reference. See attached.

21. Discussion on Items for the Next Agenda:

Possible agenda items include: Items #8 - 17, 20

22. Next Scheduled Meeting:

August 16, 2017 @ 8:00 in Room 112

23. Adjournment

Gregg Patrick made a motion to adjourn at 9:07 am, Frank Anfang seconded. Motion carried 5/0.

DATCP REPORT

August 2017

SWRM and NOD Grants

- SWRM grants: Based on the uncertain status of the 2017-2019 biennial budget, DNR and DATCP has not scheduled presentation of the 2018 preliminary allocation plan before the LWCB. If the budget is passed in the next month or so, there is time to present the preliminary allocation at the LWCB's October meeting, allowing DATCP to complete allocation by year's end.
- NOD grants: Applications for NOD grant funding are due by August 15, 2017. Contact Scott Karel at DATCP, scott.karel@wisconsin.gov, and Mike Gilbertson, mike.gilbertson@wisconsin.gov, at DNR for more information.

Livestock Facility Siting

• At the July meeting, the DATCP Board decided to delay approval of the hearing draft of ATCP 51 until the September meeting. The proposed rule and other documents are available at the ATCP Board's website, At the Board's request, DATCP will gather input from stakeholders before returning to the Board for approval of a hearing draft.

Land and Water Conservation Board (LWCB) and Land and Water Resource Management (LWRM) Plans

 At its August meeting, the LWCB applied newly adopted requirements in conducting its five year reviews of Dodge and Richland County LWRM plans. Counties must provide written answers to four questions involving work plan implementation and modifications, and submit work plans for the last two years including performance measures for the older work plan. The board adopted similar requirements for county presentations of their ten-year LWRM plan revisions.

Producer-Led Watershed Protection Grants (PLWPG)

- FY 2018 funding: A Request for Proposals for FY 18 funding (Jan 1 2018-Dec 31 2018) will be made available once the state budget has been finalized.
- Important dates:
 - December 13, 2017: PLWPG Workshop for group members and collaborators
 - December 15, 2017: Extension requests/progress reports due
 - December 31, 2017: Final reimbursement requests due
 - December 31, 2017: Final reports due for 2016 and 2017 (unless extending 2017 funds)

Conservation Engineering and Drainage

- After 24 years of state service at DATCP, Ralph Helming has his hung up his slide rule. Ralph's knowledge and experience will be missed, and we wish him the best on his future endeavors.
- The Bureau is recruiting to fill the Engineering Specialist in the Southwest Area, following Ralph Hemling's retirement (see below). The applications are being accepted through August 14th. The job announcement can be found here2 through August 14, 207.

Farmland Preservation Program

- AEA storymaps are now posted on the <u>farmland preservation webpage</u>.³
- A new frequently asked questions factsheet for counties related to the certificate of compliance number spreadsheet is now available. A pdf of the factsheet is attached to this report.
- Please contact <u>DATCPWorkingLands@wisconsin.gov</u> if you are interested in more information on Farmland Preservation Zoning, Agricultural Enterprise Areas, Farmland Preservation Agreements or tax credits.

https://datcp.wi.gov/Documents/07202017.10.ATCP51HearingDraft.pdf

² http://wisc.jobs/public/job_view.asp?annoid=92307&jobid=91821&org=115&class=26962&index=true

³ https://datcp.wi.gov/Pages/Programs_Services/AgriculturalEnterpriseAreas.aspx

Nutrient Management (NM)

- The annual NM Implementation Work Group meetings will be held in four locations around the state. All sessions will run from 9:30am-12:30pm. Dates and locations include:
 - Eau Claire Tuesday, August 29 (Washington Town Hall, 5750 Old Townhall Rd, Eau Claire, WI)
 - Jefferson Thursday, August 31 (Jefferson Co. UWEX, 864 Collins Rd., Jefferson, WI)
 - Oshkosh Wednesday, September 6 (Winnebago Co. LWCD, 625 County Rd. Y, Oshkosh, WI)
 - Richland Center Thursday, September 7 (Pippen Center Melvill Hall, UW-Richland Campus, 1200 US-14, Richland Center, WI)

All sessions are free of charge and registration is **not** necessary. Contact Sue Porter if you have any questions: 608-224-4605 or sue.porter@wi.gov

Conservation Reserve Enhancement Program (CREP)

- CREP sign up remains open for both new enrollment and reenrollment of agreements expiring 9/30/2017. Landowners should get their CREP CRP-1's finalized by FSA ASAP.
- Be sure to track your time and other costs related to implementing CREP in your county. Your county's contribution to CREP counts toward the required State/County match for Federal CREP funding and helps to maintain the program in Wisconsin. Report county contributions each fall on the <u>County CREP annual</u> reporting form.⁴
- Interested in a local CREP training on CREP basics, county CREP responsibilities, and available tools? Contact Brian Loeffelholz, <u>Brian.Loeffelholz@wisconsin.gov</u>, to set one up or if you have other CREP-related questions.

Agricultural Impact Statement (AIS) Program

- US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) has identified five permanent placement sites for Mississippi River dredged material in its draft Dredged Material Management Plan for Lower Pool 4. Two of these sites are agricultural fields in Wisconsin in Buffalo County. DATCP has submitted comments to the USACE regarding the direct impacts to these property owners and the potential collateral costs to their farm operations, if these properties were permanently taken out of production.
- The AIS program is currently drafting Agricultural Impact Statements for a natural gas pipeline project called Oxford Lateral proposed by Wisconsin Gas in Marquette County and a high-voltage electric transmission line project called Riverside Interconnection proposed by ATC in Rock County.
- Visits to the construction sites of two high-voltage transmission line projects were conducted this month. Ongoing agricultural concerns and property impacts were reviewed with ATC personnel and the Independent Environmental Monitors assigned to the Badger Coulee project and the North Appleton to Morgan project.

Other

 Secretary Ben Brancel announced his retirement from the Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection. Secretary Brancel intends to return full-time to his family's farm in Marquette County. His retirement is effective August 13, 2017.

⁴ https://datcp.wi.gov/Pages/Programs_Services/CREP.aspx

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE NUMBER SPREADSHEET

FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

OWNERSHIP CHANGES

How should we adjust the spreadsheet when land is bought and sold?

When acreage is added to a farm or when part of the farm acreage is sold, the landowner should receive an updated COC showing the current number of acres for the applicable year. That updated COC should have the same COC number that was previously issued to the landowner. The spreadsheet that is submitted for that tax year must also reflect that the acreage has changed for the landowner and that particular COC number. The total amount found in the "Total Eligible Acres" column for the landowner should be updated to reflect the purchase or sale. The landowner should continue to use the COC number that was originally issued and the county should not issue the landowner a new COC number.

Example: In 2016, Joe and Patty Smith owned 210 acres and received a COC with a unique COC number for those 210 acres. In 2017, Joe and Patty bought 40 additional acres. They requested a new COC to reflect that the additional 40 acres were also in compliance. Joe and Patty were issued a new COC for 2017 showing the updated acreage. The 2017 COC should display in the upper right-hand corner the COC number that was provided to them in 2016. The spreadsheet that the county then submits for 2017 should be updated to show that Joe and Patty Smith now have 250 total eligible acres.

Tax Year 2016

Certificate of Compliance (COC) #	Date COC	Name of	Total Eligible	Date NON	Dane NON
	Issued	Landowner	Acres	Issued	Cancelled
7000100	12132016	Joe and Patty Smith	210		

Tax year 2017

Certificate of Compliance (COC) #	Date COC	Name of	Total Eligible	Date NON	Dane NON
	Issued	Landowner	Acres	Issued	Cancelled
7000100	06252017	Joe and Patty Smith	250		

What should we do when a landowner sells the entire farm? Should we delete the landowner and COC number from the spreadsheet?

Do not delete COC numbers or landowner names from the spreadsheet. If you learn that a landowner has sold all acreage in the county, place a "0" in the "Total Eligible Acres" cell corresponding to the landowner's COC number.

Example:

Tax Year 2016

Certificate of Compliance (COC) #	Date COC Issued	Name of Landowner	Total Eligible Acres	Date NON Issued	Dane NON Cancelled
7000100	12132016	Joe and Patty	210		
		Smith			

Tax year 2017

Certificate of Compliance (COC) #	Date COC	Name of	Total Eligible	Date NON	Dane NON
	Issued	Landowner	Acres	Issued	Cancelled
7000100	12132016	Joe and Patty Smith	0		

What should we do when a married couple owns a farm and one spouse dies? Do we need to issue a new COC number? Should we delete the spouse's name from the spreadsheet?

This is up to you. Any change in the ownership name typically means that a new COC number should be issued for that name. For example, if two brothers own land together and one brother dies, the surviving brother should be issued a new COC under just his name with a new COC number (and the spreadsheet should be updated accordingly).

In regards to spouses, however, the answer is a bit different. Because of marital property law, when a couple files their tax return, they may choose to claim jointly on all land that they own whether it is under both of their names together or each of their names separately. So if the tax bills show that Joe owns a 40-acre parcel and Patty owns a 40-acre parcel and the two own an additional 80 acres together, they may claim 160 acres jointly. Because of this, it is perfectly fine to issue Joe and Patty a COC on the 160 acres. It is also fine to issue three separate COCs based on the landowner name or names that appear on the tax bills. Schedule FC-A can accommodate landowners with multiple COC numbers.

Similarly, when one spouse dies, you may choose to either issue a new COC or do nothing. For consistency and ease of tracking, you may want to consider issuing the surviving spouse a new COC and a new COC number and updating the spreadsheet accordingly. If you choose to issue a new COC, you should place a zero in the "Total Eligible Acres" column that corresponds to the original COC number that was issued to the couple.

You may also choose to leave the matter alone and not issue a new COC with a new COC number unless the surviving spouse requests it. That spouse will continue to be able to use the previously issued COC and COC number to claim the tax credit.

The bottom line is, either way is fine provided the landowner name on the COC and the associated COC number corresponds with the landowner name and COC number that is on the spreadsheet you send to DATCP. If you decide to update the COC and COC number, you must update the COC spreadsheet and submit the updated spreadsheet to DATCP.

We issued a COC for 3 landowners and now the property is being divided among the three individuals. Can we recycle the existing COC number and use it for one of the landowner's COCs or do we need to issue 3 new COCs with 3 new numbers?

Any change in the ownership name means that a new COC number should be issued for that name. In this case, if all of the land has been split between three individuals, there should be three new COCs with three new COC numbers. If the three individuals already have COCs as individuals, it would be fine to add the acreage to their existing COCs. Remember to place a zero in the "Total Eligible Acres" column that corresponds to the original COC number that was issued when they owned the land jointly.

REPORTING FOR DIFFERENT YEARS

If a landowner can demonstrate compliance for a previous year and I feel comfortable issuing a COC, should I update the corresponding spreadsheet?

Yes. Suppose a landowner comes into your office for the first time in 2018 and wants to claim the tax credit for 2016 and 2017 and can demonstrate compliance for those two tax years. Suppose, in addition, that you have not given a COC to this landowner yet. If you are comfortable finding that the landowner's farm was in compliance in 2016 and 2017, you can issue the landowner a COC with the eligible year on the form noted as 2016. The landowner can then use this COC to amend a tax claim for 2016 and 2017 to claim the credit.

Provided landowners can meet eligibility requirements, they can amend their tax returns for up to four years. As a result, you may have landowners requesting COCs for previous years. Because of this, we recommend keeping version of the spreadsheet for each tax year so that you are able to add a landowner's name and COC number for that tax year if you need to.

DATCP will request spreadsheets by December 20th each year for that particular tax year, but what happens if/when we need to make an update to a spreadsheet for a previous year?

Please submit updated spreadsheets for previous tax years as you are able. Because you may need to go back to previous years, we recommend that you save a version of the spreadsheet that shows that COC numbers and landowners for that particular tax year. Please keep the spreadsheets separated by year instead of adding a column for eligible year. If it's easier to have a workbook with separate tabbed worksheets for each year, that's fine too. When you send the spreadsheet to DATCP, please include the relevant tax year in the title of the document.

Example:

In 2016 you issued 130 COCs with unique COC numbers, 10 NONs, and 0 Cancellations. In 2017, you issued 5 more COCs for first-time participants, cancelled 2 NONs, and talked to one landowner who was compliant in 2016 as well as 2017. When you submit your spreadsheets to DATCP, you should have one spreadsheet for 2016 and one for 2017.

- The 2017 spreadsheet should have all of the rows from 2016 plus the five additional COCs that you've issued.
- The 2017 spreadsheet should also include the 2 Cancellations.
- The 2016 spreadsheet should be updated to include the landowner who was determined in 2017 to be in compliance for 2016. This landowner and the associated COC number and issuance date should also be added to the 2017 spreadsheet.
- Any information that you discover in 2017 that effects the total eligible acreage for 2017 should only be entered on the 2017 spreadsheet. For example, if a landowner was in compliance on 80 acres in 2016 and that landowner bought 40 compliant acres in 2017 the 2016 spreadsheet should list 80 total eligible acres for the landowner in 2016 and 120 total eligible acres in 2017.

NOTICES OF NONCOMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS OF INELIGIBILITY

When a Notice of Noncompliance is issued, should the date the COC was issued be deleted?

No. If a Notice of Noncompliance is issued, simply add the date in the "Date NON Issued" column. This shows that the NON was issued after the COC and the landowner should not claim the tax credit.

If a landowner receives a Notice of Noncompliance, should a zero be entered into the "Total Eligible Acres" column?

No. Only enter the date that you issued the NON. This prevents you from having to re-enter the Eligible Acres if you issue a Cancellation in the future.

What should we do if we discover that a landowner is no longer eligible for reasons other than non-compliance (e.g. a landowner doesn't qualify because of income or the landowners sold their farm or a landowner died)? Do we need to indicate lack of eligibility on the spreadsheet?

It depends. If you discover that a landowner doesn't qualify because of income, there is no need to zero out the acreage on the spreadsheet. The landowner will not be able to claim the credit until the gross farm income requirement is met. The landowner may meet the requirement in subsequent years and could claim in the future.

If you learn that landowners sold their farm or a landowner (who was the sole owner) died, we would encourage you to zero out those acres on the spreadsheet. This would show that the landowners with those COC numbers are no longer able to claim the credit and it would help prevent those COC numbers from being used erroneously to claim the FP tax credit.

If somebody dies or sells the entire farm or receives a NON, should we delete the person's name from the spreadsheet?

No. For now, do not delete individuals from the spreadsheet. You can use a zero to show ineligibility in the "Total Eligible Acres" if the landowner no longer owns the farm or the landowner died. If the landowner received an NON, a date in the "Date NON Issued" column will show that the landowner is ineligible to claim the credit. Again, do not put a zero in the "Total Eligible Acres" column if the landowner receives an NON.

Jefferson County Land and Water Conservation Department

August Director's Report

Reporting/Activity:

- Completed 2018 Budget Request.
- Received 2017 Staffing and Operations grant reimbursement.
- Implemented department wide vehicle check out calendar.
- Completed light & wiring project for boat trailer.
- Attended 2nd drone users work group meeting.
- Submitted 3rd updated UID# list to Dept. of Revenue.
- Attended 1st Strategic Planning Committee workshop.
- Completed 2016-2017 Annual Report.
- Attended Land Information Council meeting.
- Close out of DNR Shoreline Shallows Grant.
- Contacted Notice of Discharge violator for follow up.
- Scheduled multiple summer vacations.
- Completed updates to P.A.C.E. brochures.
- Fielded multiple calls and 1 office visit regarding Gypsy Moth infestations.
- Attended Rock River Ag Sector Team meeting.
- \$173,460.97 monthly deposits from grants & programming.

Farmland Preservation Program (FPP)

- Completed 2 new program enrollments.
- 75 of 176 Status Review Inspection reports sent out.
- 2 Certificates of Compliance issued.
- 3 Notices of Non-Compliance issued.
- 0 Cancellation of Non-Compliance.
- 2 NMP reviews.
- Finalized 5 CREP Reenrollments.
- Reviewed DATCP/DOR reporting guidance.

Nutrient Management / Cost Share:

- Followed up with 3 2016 BMP contract holders for status updates.
- Scheduled next round of cost share meetings.

GIS

- Easement Monitoring Reports completed.
- Livestock Siting Maps completed.
- Farm Drainage Map updates.
- Wetland's Restoration webinar attended.
- Requests for aerial photography completed.
- Rock Lake Watershed Land cover maps rendered.

Livestock Siting Application Reviews

- Issued 1 determination of completeness.
- Review and commentary on NMP for Livestock Siting Permit.

Animal Waste - Manure Discharge/Complaints

- 1 Runoff complaint in Lake Mills. Land owner contacted and revisions to operation enacted.
- Investigate 1 anonymous waste complaint unable to verify location.

Tree Program

- Compiled 2017 2018 Tree program order date.
- Developing order form and program informational brochures.

Miscellaneous

- Monthly staff meeting completed.
- Attend monthly department heads meeting.
- Reviewed and approved monthly P-Card Purchases online.
- Reconciled May/June financials.
- Day to day office activities.

Lakes & Streams:

Lakes/Rivers/Water Resources

Answered questions regarding slow-no-wake orders on area lakes and pier rules.

Rock Lake

- Performed an aquatic plant survey on Rock Lake with the Department of Natural Resources.
- Attended the Rock Lake Improvement Association meeting.
- Sent the Rock Lake public surveys to people who didn't receive it or misplaced their copies.
- Entered data from the public survey into a spreadsheet.
- Showed 3 other people how to do the data entry.
- Assisted with the planning of a tour of native shore land and raingardens.
- Arranged a site visit with a Rock Lake shore land owner, myself, and Matt from Zoning to talk about what he can do
 with and without a permit in the shore land area.

Rock River Coalition

Attended the Rock River Coalition monthly meeting.

Stream Sampling Program with volunteers

Set up 2 stream monitors on their stream and went through the sampling protocols with them.

Aquatic Invasive Species

Trained a person to do the Clean Boats, Clean Waters Program watercraft inspections on Rock Lake and Lake Ripley.

Date Ran

7/21/2017

Period Year 6 2017

Business Unit	Description	Current Period Actual	Current Period Budget	YTD Actual	YTD Budget	Prorated Variance	Total Budget	Annual Remaining	Percentage Of Budget
7001 Land & Water	P	(445,000,50)							
7001 Land & Water	Revenue Expenditures	(116,089.58) 47,283.90	(48,227.92) 48,227.92	(293,342.26) 283,391.46	(289,367.50) 289,367.50	(3,974.76) (5,976.04)	(578,735.00) 578,735.00	(285,392.74) 295,343.54	50,69% 48.97%
-	Other Sources	≘ %	<u></u>		380	*	Ş ė	*	#DIV/01
Total		(68,805.68)	(0.00)	(9,950.80)		(9,950.80)	- 6	9,950.80	0.00%
7002 Wild Life Crop	Revenue	-	(1,666.67)	(3,412.08)	(10,000.00)	6,587.92	(20,000.00)	(16,587.92)	17.06%
	Expenditures	20	1,666.67	5,240.05	10,000.00	(4,759.95)	20,000.00	14,759.95	26.20%
Total	Other Sources		*	1,827.97	:# :#	1,827.97		(1,827.97)	#DIV/01 0.00%
									-
7007 Nutrient Manage	Revenue Expenditures	(a)	(20.83) 20.83	(120.00) 11.94	(125.00) 125.00	5.00 (113.06)	(250.00) 250.00	(130.00) 238.06	0.48
	Other Sources	51 51	20,00	12.57	31	(223,007	35	200.00	#DIV/01
Total		397	*	(108.06)	9	(108.06)	3.0	108.06	
7008 County Cost Share	Revenue	-	*	¥	- 3	43	8	2	#DIV/0!
	Expenditures	-	<u> </u>		· ·	•	-	3	#DIV/01
Total	Other Sources						-		#DIV/01 0.00%
1000				R					0.0078
7009 Hope Lake	Revenue	-	(833.33)	(1,331.90)	(5,000.00)	3,668.10	(10,000.00)	(8,668.10)	13.32%
	Expenditures Other Sources		833.33	-	5,000.00	(5,000.00)	10,000.00	10,000.00	0.00% #DIV/0!
Total			(0.00)	(1,331.90)		(1,331.90)		1,331.90	0.00%
7010 Resources	Revenue	(2,198.00)	(4,083.33)	(2,198.00)	(24,500.00)	22,302.00	(49,000.00)	(46 902 00)	4.49%
7010 Nesources	Expenditures	(2,138.00)	4,083.33	1,120.00	24,500.00	(23,380,00)	49,000.00	(46,802.00) 47,880.00	2.29%
	Other Sources	74			- 5	120000000000000000000000000000000000000		- 3	#DIV/01
Total		(2,198.00)	0.00	(1,078.00)	ā.	(1,078.00)	-	1,078.00	0.00%
7011 Non Metallic	Revenue	942.91	(40.42)	5,657.46	(242.50)	5,899.96	(485.00)	(6,142.46)	-1166.49%
	Expenditures	0.49	40.42	12.82	242.50	(229.68)	485.00	472.18	2.64%
Total	Other Sources	943.40	(0.00)	5,670.28	-	5,670.28		(5,670.28)	#DIV/0! 0.00%
7012 Mud Lake	Revenue Expenditures	*	*	*	*	1.0		* *	#DIV/0! #DIV/0!
	Other Sources		2			14			#DIV/0!
Total									(a)
7013 Rome Ponds	Revenue					•)		8	#DIV/0!
	Expenditures	⊛	*	*	*	300	•	*	#DIV/0!
Total	Other Sources	<u> </u>							#DIV/01 0.00%
7014 Gypsy Moth Program		5	2	2		17.		3	#DIV/0!
	Expenditures Other Sources		~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~						#DIV/01 #DIV/0!
Total		- 3						3	0.00%
7016 Southern Area	Revenue	2	2	2		2	12	2	#DIV/01
	Expenditures		** **	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #		1 18	a #	3 3	#DIV/0!
Tabel	Other Sources	*				(<u>*</u>)	*		#DIV/0!
Total				¥1	3	(6)	(4)		0.00%
7019 Farmland Preservation		(108.21)	(33.33)	(542.63)	(200.00)	(342.63)	(400.00)	142.63	1.36
	Expenditures Other Sources	12.58	10,353.19	219.86	62,119.16	(61,899.30)	124,238.31	124,018.45	0.00 #DIV/01
Total	55,161 5061663	(95.63)	10,319.86	(322.77)	61,919.16	(62,241.93)	123,838.31	124,161.08	-
7020 County Farm	D-v	2000	/***	(000 5-1	(000 5-1		10 000 0-1	(one re)	
	Revenue Expenditures	8,641.25 153.66	(166.08) 166.08	(996.50) 488.56	(996.50) 996.50	(507.94)	(1,993.00) 1,993.00	(996.50) 1,504.44	50.00% 24.51%
	Other Sources	*		- 5	330,30	18:			#DIV/01
Total		8,794.91	(0.00)	(507.94)		(507.94)	3	507.94	0.00%
Total All Business Units	Revenue	(108,811.63)	(55,071.92)	(296,285.91)	(330,431.50)	34,145.59	(660,863.00)	(364,577.09)	#DIV/01
	Expenditures	47,450.63	65,391.78	290,484.69	392,350.66	(101,865.97)	784,701.31	494,216.62	#DIV/0!
	Other Sources								#DIV/01